



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	<u> </u>	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:	
09/586,265	05/31/2000		Jonathan L. Edwards	NAI1P003/00.069.01	5510	
28875 759	28875 7590 07/01/2004			EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP				TRAN, TONGOC		
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2134	2		
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

for

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/586,265	EDWARDS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	_			
		Tongoc Tran	2134				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A	pril 2004.					
-	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 43	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminar				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • •					
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document:  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen		-					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/586,265

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on 11/4/2003.

Claims 1, 7 and 13 are amended. Claims 19 and 20 are newly added. Claims 1-20 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims 1, 7 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,170).

In respect to claim 1, Chen discloses a method, a computer program product and a system for an on-access computer virus scanning of files in an efficient manner, comprising the steps of:

(a) identifying a process for accessing files and selecting virus detections actions based at least in part on the identified process if no identifier is assigned thereto; (b)

Application/Control Number: 09/586,265

Art Unit: 2134

assigning an identifier to the process if no identifier is assigned thereto; (c) selecting virus detection actions based at least in part on the identifier if existent; and (see, Fig. 4C-4D. col. 11, line 51-col. 13, line 23, col. 19. line 39-col. 20, line 6); (d) performing the virus detection actions on the files wherein the process is associated with an application program and different identifiers are assigned to different application programs so that the virus detection action tailored for the processes associated with the application programs (col. 4, lines 15-30, col. 12, lines 12-35 and col. 13, lines 1-23).

Claim 7 is an apparatus claim that substantially equivalent to method claim 1 respectively. Therefore, claim 7 is rejected by a similar rationale.

Claim 13 is a system claim that is substantially equivalent to method claim 1 respectively. Therefore, claim 13 is rejected by a similar rationale.

In respect to claims 2, 8 and 14, Chen further discloses "wherein the identifier is cleared upon the occurrence of a predetermined event" (col. 19. line 39-col. 20, line 6).

In respect to claims 3, 9 and 15, Chen further discloses "wherein the identifier is reused after being cleared" (col. 19. line 39-col. 20, line 6).

In respect to claims 4, 10 and 16, Chen further discloses "wherein the event is the termination of an application" (col. 19. line 39-col. 20, line 6).

In respect to claims 5, 11 and 17, Chen further discloses "wherein the identifier is assigned by the application" (col. 19. line 39-col. 20, line 6).

In respect to claims 6, 12 and 18, Chen further discloses "wherein the application is adapted for executing the process" (col. 19, line 39-col. 20, line 6).

Application/Control Number: 09/586,265

Art Unit: 2134

In respect to claim 19, Chen further discloses wherein the virus detection actions are selected by determining a category associated with the process based on the identifier, and selecting a set of virus detection actions based on the determined category (Chen, col. 12, lines 12-35 and col. 13, lines 1-23).

In respect to claim 20, Chen further discloses wherein the identifier reflects a risk level associated with the application program and a plurality of categories each have virus detection actions tailored for an associated risk level (Chen, col. 12, lines 12-35 and col. 13, lines 1-23).

### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- Application/Control Number: 09/586,265

Art Unit: 2134

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-7690. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner: Tongoc Tran

Art Unit: 2134

TT

June 25, 2004

GREGORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100